Nanospintronics

"The emerging field of Quantum Spintronics..."

Large phase coherence effects in a diluted ferromagnetic semiconductor, GaMnAs

R. Giraud & Phynano Team @ CNRS-LPN

coll: B. Barbara , CNRS-LLN



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006









R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



The 'NanoGamnas' project @ LPN

Phase-coherent manipulation of a localized (i) or a delocalized (ii) spin

This research contributes to make a link between spintronics and mesoscopic physics

It is based on all-semiconducting materials, and uses an epitaxial ferromagnet GaMnAs, eventually integrated onto a conventional semiconducting heterostructure

i) Spin-SET, Spin Qu-bit

All-electrical control of the two spin-states of a single electron spin localized in a vertical quantum dot



ii) Coherent spin transport

Phase-coherent control of a spin current in a ferromagnet or a 2DEG



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006







CENT De LA SCIEN

R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006

Mesoscopic transport & Magnetism

Quantum corrections to the conductance as a probe of L_{0}



Saturation of L_{ϕ}

due to magnetic impurities in a metal -see F. Pierre et al., PRB 68, 085413 (2003)-

Exchange 'field' to freeze single-spin fluctuations ...but only ultra-short L_{φ} were reported to date, probably due to a short inelastic mean free path -Jaroszynski et al. 95', Aprili et al. 97', Lee 04', Saito et al. 05'-

How to preserve phase coherence in a ferromagnet ? → reduce spin-flip scattering...
<u>Anisotropy</u> 'field' to freeze low-energy spin waves fluctuations
Large L^{inel}
<u>Epitaxial ferromagnet</u> to avoid low-energy spin fluctuations at grain boundaries

Reduced role of the spin-orbit interaction :

Internal fields... - Tatara & Barbara 01'/04', Dugaev & Bruno 01'-

 $1/\tau_{\uparrow} = 1/\tau_{_0} + 1/\tau_{_{so\uparrow}{^z}} + 2\nu_{\downarrow}/\nu_{\uparrow} \ 1/\tau_{_{so\uparrow}{^x}}$

-no contribution of the anisotropic s-o interaction to the cooperon-



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



✓ GaMnAs : an epitaxial ferromagnet



Mn : ...3*d*⁵4*s*²

 $Ga \rightarrow Mn \Rightarrow Mn^{2+}(S=5/2) + h$

Substitutional Mn = Acceptor Bound magnetic polaron

Impurity band / Mobility edge

Delocalized hole-induced ferromagnetism

MBE-growth engineering of the anisotropy

Tailored by the strains induced by the substrate on the epilayer

GaMnAs/GaAs : In-plane anisotropy

GaMnAs/InGaAs : Out-of-plane anisotropy —



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006

J.-C. Girard, LPN





Phase coherence in GaMnAs





R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



Phase coherence in GaMnAs



→ Reproducible aperiodic universal conductance fluctuations



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006







 \rightarrow Exact nature of the fluctuators at large fields $2 \dots$



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006









R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



Phase coherence in GaMnAs



Temperature dependence of UCF

Bias dependence of UCF



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



Planar vs. *Perpendicular* anisotropy



 \rightarrow Partial failure of the semi-classical approximation...



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



Planar vs. *Perpendicular* anisotropy



AMR saturation field H_A

 \rightarrow $H_Z < H_A$: Onset of coherent scattering by Domain Walls



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



✓ Phase coherence in a ferromagnet

Universal Conductance Fluctuations as a probe of L_{0}



→ Aperiodic conductance fluctuations



Effective phase coherence length extracted from UCF within the framework of the semi-classical approximation...

<u>Quasi-1D regime</u> $(L_T > W)$

<u>Quasi-2D regime</u> $(L_{\rm T} < W)$

$$\delta G^{rms} (e^2/h) = (L_{o}/L)^{3/2}$$





R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



GaMnAs plan

L = 500 nm

Decoherence...

Large effective phase coherence lengths extracted from the ½classical analysis

 $L_{o} \sim 100 \text{ nm}$ @ 100mK

About three times larger than in ultra-narrow wires (reduced edge effects, material quality?) but L_T not so accurately known for a quantitative estimation of L_{ϕ} • Mechanism for decoherence ? Power-law dependence of τ_{ϕ} (T) Quasi-2D regime : τ_{ϕ} (T) ~ 1/T Coulomb interaction?

 \rightarrow dimensional crossover to the quasi-1D regime...?

K.Wagner et al., cond-mat/0603418

δG_{rms}/(e²/h)

0.1

0.01

0.01

= 800 nm



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006



0.1

T (K)

3G/(e²/h)xL^{3/2} (nm^{3/2}

1000

10

 $\delta \mathbf{G} \propto \mathbf{1}$

0.1 T(K)

 $\delta \bm{G} \propto \bm{T}^{\textbf{-0.81}}$

_δ**G** ∝ T^{-0.77}

Laboratoire de Photonique et de Nanostructures – LPN http://www.lpn.cnrs.fr

GaMnAs perp

L = 1 um

GaMnAs plan

 $L = 1 \, \mu m$

<u>Summary & Conclusions</u>

Large quantum interference effects unambiguously observed in epitaxial GaMnAs nanowires... with *deviations* from a semi-classical behavior, as expected for GaMnAs valence subbands + λ_F ~ L^{el}

Planar vs. Perpendicular anisotropy indicates that the interference patterns are dominated, as expected, by structural disorder but additional sources of fluctuations are also present

Planar anisotropy study gives indications that *spin fluctuations* play a relevant role at low fields

More investigations are carried out...



R. Giraud – Les Houches, June 2006

